

Topological Conformal Algebra in 2d Gravity Coupled to Minimal Matter

Sudhakar Panda

*The Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics
and Mathematical Physics
Allahabad 211 002, India*

and

Shibaji Roy

*International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Trieste, Italy*

ABSTRACT

An infinite number of topological conformal algebras with varying central charges are explicitly shown to be present in $2d$ gravity (treated both in the conformal gauge and in the light-cone gauge) coupled to minimal matter. The central charges of the underlying $N = 2$ theory in two different gauge choices are generically found to be different. The physical states in these theories are briefly discussed in the light of the $N = 2$ superconformal symmetry.

Despite the much recent efforts to understand the results of the discretized version of $2d$ gravity coupled to various matter systems (matrix models) in terms of the continuum approach, many questions remain unresolved [1,2]. One such question is the origin of a topological structure (which is present in the matrix models [3,4]) directly in the conventional approach of Liouville-matter system. Only known field theoretic description of the matrix model formulation of $2d$ gravity is the $2d$ topological gravity coupled to topological matter [5]. Although it is well-known that some of the matrix model results can be

reproduced [6] in the continuum approach of Liouville-matter system, yet the topological structure of the latter were not understood until recently. In ref.[7], it is shown that almost all string theories, including the bosonic string, the superstring and W -string theories possess a topological conformal algebra (TCA). This is certainly an indication of a possible connection between the topological field theories and the conventional Liouville-matter system.

By suitably modifying the generators in $2d$ gravity coupled to minimal matter [8] we explicitly show here that there are in fact infinite number of TCA's with varying central charges. We have treated $2d$ gravity both in the conformal gauge [9] and in the light-cone gauge [10]. The central charges associated with the underlying $N = 2$ theory for the two gauge choices are found not to be the same. This shows that there might be an ambiguity in the analysis of the physical states by relying on the $N = 2$ symmetry alone. We, however, discuss very briefly the physical states in these theories only when $2d$ gravity is treated in the conformal gauge.

In the conformal gauge, the conformal degree of freedom of the metric is taken as the Liouville field and the gravity sector is realized by the Liouville action. The (p, q) minimal models (with $\gcd(p, q)=1$) coupled to Liouville field can be described in terms of the Coulomb gas representation with the energy-momentum tensors for the matter and the Liouville sector given as,

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \partial\phi_M(z)\partial\phi_M(z) : + iQ_M\partial^2\phi_M(z) \\ T_L(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \partial\phi_L(z)\partial\phi_L(z) : + iQ_L\partial^2\phi_L(z) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ϕ_M, ϕ_L represent matter and Liouville fields respectively. $2Q_M, 2Q_L$ are the corresponding background charges. The matter sector is characterized by the Virasoro central charge $1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq} = 1 - 12Q_M^2$. Since, the total central charge of the Liouville-matter system should be 26, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2Q_M &= \sqrt{\frac{2p}{q}} - \sqrt{\frac{2q}{p}} \\ 2Q_L &= i \left(\sqrt{\frac{2p}{q}} + \sqrt{\frac{2q}{p}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The BRST current for this system is given as,

$$J_B(z) =: c(z) \left[T_M(z) + T_L(z) + \frac{1}{2}T^{bc}(z) \right] : \quad (3)$$

Here T^{bc} is the energy-momentum tensor for the reparametrization ghost system, consisting of the ghost field $c(z)$ and the antighost field $b(z)$ with conformal weight -1 and 2 respectively and is given by,

$$T^{bc}(z) = -2 : b(z)\partial c(z) : - : \partial b(z)c(z) : \quad (4)$$

It has been observed before that the generators $T(z) \equiv T_L(z) + T_M(z) + T^{bc}(z)$; $G^+(z) \equiv J_B(z)$; $G^-(z) \equiv b(z)$ and $J(z) \equiv c(z)b(z)$: satisfy an almost TCA, but the algebra does not close and produce two new fields $c(z)$ and $c\partial c(z)$ [11].

It is, however, possible to modify the generators $G^+(z)$ and $J(z)$ by adding total derivative terms [7] (it does not affect the BRST charge) in such a way that the modified generators would form a closed TCA. The most general modifications consistent with the conformal weight and ghost charge are given as

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(z) &= J_B(z) + a_1 \partial(c\partial\phi_L)(z) + a_2 \partial(c\partial\phi_M)(z) + a_3 \partial^2 c(z) \\ J(z) &=: c(z)b(z) : + a_4 \partial\phi_L(z) + a_5 \partial\phi_M(z) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where a_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) are arbitrary parameters. It is now easy to check the the new generators form a TCA [11]

$$\begin{aligned} T(z)T(w) &\sim \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{(z-w)} \\ T(z)G^\pm(w) &\sim \frac{\frac{1}{2}(3 \mp 1)G^\pm(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial G^\pm(w)}{(z-w)} \\ T(z)J(w) &\sim \frac{-\frac{1}{3}c}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{J(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial J(w)}{(z-w)} \\ J(z)G^\pm(w) &\sim \pm \frac{G^\pm(w)}{(z-w)}; \quad J(z)J(w) \sim \frac{\frac{1}{3}c}{(z-w)^2} \\ G^+(z)G^-(w) &\sim \frac{\frac{1}{3}c}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{J(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{T(w)}{(z-w)} \\ G^\pm(z)G^\pm(w) &\sim 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

provided a_i 's satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_4 &= 0 \\ a_2 + a_5 &= 0 \\ a_1^2 + a_2^2 + 2a_3 - 1 &= 0 \\ 2iQ_M a_2 + 2iQ_L a_1 - 2a_3 + 3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The central charge of the associated $N = 2$ theory is $c = 6a_3$. Because there are three unknown parameters namely, a_1 , a_2 and a_3 with two independent equations governing them in (7), there are infinite number of solutions for a_1 and a_2 . Consequently, there are infinite number of TCAs with central charges $6a_3$ present in $2d$ gravity coupled to minimal matter. In ref.[7] a particular solution of Eq.(7) i.e. $a_2 = 0$ were chosen. In this case, we have $a_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2p}{q}}$ and $c = 6a_3 = 3(1 - \frac{2p}{q})$ and consequently, there are two $N = 2$ superconformal algebra for fixed values of p, q (and interchanging p and q everywhere in the above). However, it has been pointed out in ref.[12] that there is a problem in choosing

the current $\partial\phi_L$ to modify the generators G^+ and J when the cosmological constant is non-zero. This situation will correspond to choosing $a_1 = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_2 = i\sqrt{\frac{2p}{q}}$ and $c = 3(1 + \frac{2p}{q})$ and we will be again left with only two TCAs.

In the light-cone gauge, the metric degrees of freedom are fixed by $h_{+-} = h_{-+} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $h_{--} = 0$. As shown in ref.[10], the non-zero components of the metric admits a decomposition in terms of the three generators of the non-compact group $SL(2, R)$ satisfying the current algebra

$$j^a(z)j^b(w) \sim \frac{f_{ab}^c j^c(w)}{(z-w)} + \frac{\frac{k}{2}\eta^{ab}}{(z-w)^2} \quad (8)$$

where $a, b = 0, \pm$ are $SL(2, R)$ indices, k is the level of the current algebra, the non-zero components of the killing metric and the structure constants are given as $\eta^{+-} = \eta^{-+} = -2\eta^{00} = 2$; $f_{+}^{0+} = -f_{-}^{0-} = -\frac{1}{2}$; $f_{0}^{+-} = -1$. The residual gauge invariance is generated by the current $j^+(z)$ and the energy-momentum tensor $T_G(z)$. The latter is given by the modified Sugawara form [10]

$$T_G(z) = \frac{1}{k-2} : \eta_{ab} j^a(z) j^b(z) : - \partial j^0(z) \quad (9)$$

and the associated Virasoro central charge is $\frac{3k}{k-2} + 6k$. With respect to this energy-momentum tensor the currents j^+ , j^0 and j^- have conformal weights 0, 1 and 2 respectively. The total energy-momentum tensor when minimal matter is coupled to light-cone gauge gravity is given as,

$$T(z) = T_G(z) + T_M(z) + T^{bc}(z) + : \partial\zeta\epsilon(z) : \quad (10)$$

where the extra fermionic ghost system (ζ, ϵ) having conformal weights (0,1) is the consequence of the symmetry associated with the generator j^+ . The Virasoro central charge for this ghost system is -2 . The expression for the BRST current has the form [13]

$$J_B(z) = : c(z) \left[T_G(z) + T_M(z) + \frac{1}{2} T^{bc}(z) + T^{\zeta\epsilon}(z) \right] : + \epsilon(z) j^+(z) \quad (11)$$

with $T^{\zeta\epsilon}(z) = : (\partial\zeta)\epsilon(z) :$.

As in the conformal gauge, the generators $T(z)$, $G^+(z) \equiv J_B(z)$, $G^-(z) \equiv b(z)$ and $J(z) \equiv : c(z)b(z) : + : \epsilon(z)\zeta(z) :$ satisfy an almost TCA. The operator product $J_B(z)J_B(w)$ in this case produce apart from $c(z)$, $c\partial c(z)$ an extra field $c\epsilon j^0(z)$. In analogy with the conformal gauge case, we here modify the generators as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(z) &= J_B(z) + A_1 \partial(c\zeta\epsilon)(z) + A_2 \partial^2 c(z) + A_3 \partial(cj^0)(z) + A_4 \partial(c\partial\phi_M)(z) \\ J(z) &= : c(z)b(z) : + A_5 : \epsilon(z)\zeta(z) : + A_6 j^0(z) + A_7 \partial\phi_M(z) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with J_B as given in (11). We find that these new generators form TCA Eq.(6) provided A_i 's obey the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 - A_5 &= 0 \\
A_3 + A_6 &= 0 \\
A_4 + A_7 &= 0 \\
A_1 + A_3 - 1 &= 0 \\
A_1 + 2A_2 + kA_3 - 2iQ_M A_4 - 3 &= 0 \\
2A_1^2 + 4A_1 + 4A_2 + kA_3(4 - A_3) - 2A_4(A_4 + 4iQ_M) - 10 &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and the central charge of the associated $N = 2$ theory is given by $c = 6A_2$. Again we notice that there are three independent unknown parameters (A_1 , A_2 and A_4), but two relations governing them. One can fix A_1 and A_2 in terms of A_4 and so for different values of A_4 we have a TCA with different central charges. Using the central charge balance equation for the light-cone gauge gravity coupled to matter system, namely,

$$\frac{3k}{k-2} + 6k + 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq} - 26 - 2 = 0 \tag{14}$$

we can obtain k in terms of p, q as $k = \frac{p}{q} + 2$ or $k = \frac{q}{p} + 2$. Substituting this value of k in the particular case when $A_4 = 0$ (this corresponds to the case in ref.[7]) we find that the central charge of the $N = 2$ theory has values

$$c = 6 \left(\frac{p}{q} - \frac{q}{p} + 1 \right) \quad \text{or} \quad = 6 \tag{15}$$

The second solution is a particular case of the first when $p = q = 1$ and corresponds to $c_M=1$ matter coupled to gravity. Comparing the corresponding expression in the conformal gauge for c which is $c = 3(1 - \frac{2p}{q})$ we note that the underlying $N = 2$ theories are different for two different gauge choices of the metric. In fact this is true for the generic case also. We, therefore, conclude that unless we can establish an automorphism under which the generators of the $N = 2$ algebra in these two gauges have one to one correspondence and the central charge is the same in both cases it might be ambiguous to determine the physical states by relying on the $N = 2$ symmetry alone.

In the following we make a few remarks about the physical states in the light of the underlying $N = 2$ symmetry only when the gravity is treated in the conformal gauge. Physical states in the Liouville-matter system are the states which are in the kernel of the BRST charge $Q_B = \oint dz J_B(z)$ with $J_B(z)$ as given in (3) modulo its image. It is well known that the physical state spectrum in this model consists of apart from the usual ghost number zero states infinite other states with higher ghost numbers [14]. Using the state-operator correspondence, it has been found in ref.[15] that the ghost number zero operators

(ghost number -1 states) define an interesting ring structure the so-called “ground ring”. For the general (p, q) model coupled to gravity they have the form [16]

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left[bc - \sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}(i\partial\phi_M - \partial\phi_L) \right] e^{i\sqrt{\frac{q}{2p}}(\phi_M - i\phi_L)} \\ y &= \left[bc + \sqrt{\frac{q}{2p}}(i\partial\phi_M + \partial\phi_L) \right] e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}(\phi_M + i\phi_L)} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since all the higher ghost number states fall in the module of the ground ring [17], one can consider only the ground ring generators. It has been noted in ref.[7], when $a_2 = 0$ in (7) that the central charge becomes the same as the unitary minimal $N = 2$ theory for $p = 1$ and $q = l + 2$. In this case one finds that y becomes a chiral primary field [18] satisfying the relation $\frac{1}{2}q_y = h_y$, where q_y is the $U(1)$ charge and h_y is the conformal weight of y . But x is not a primary field with respect to the $N = 2$ theory. Since the unitary minimal $N = 2$ theory is characterized by the ring relation $y^{l+1} = 0$ [18], which is also present in $(1, l + 2)$ model coupled to gravity one readily identifies these models with $M_{1, l+2}$ models coupled to gravity.

In general, when $a_2 \neq 0$, we find that the ground ring generators have $U(1)$ charges $q_x = \sqrt{\frac{q}{2p}}(a_1 + ia_2)$ and $q_y = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}(a_1 - ia_2)$ and they have conformal weights $h_x = \frac{1}{2}q_x$, $h_y = \frac{1}{2}q_y$ respectively. We, however, find that in general x and y are not primary fields since their OPE with the untwisted energy-momentum tensor are anomalous. By looking at the anomaly terms which are proportional to $\sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}(a_1 - ia_2) - 1$ and $\sqrt{\frac{q}{2p}}(a_1 + ia_2) - 1$ for x and y , it is clear that it is not possible to make both them primary, since the parameters a_1 and a_2 also have to satisfy Eq.(7). Since for general a_1, a_2 , the underlying $N = 2$ theory is non-unitary, we need more detailed investigation in order to draw any conclusion about the physical states in this case.

Acknowledgments:

One of us (S.R.) would like to thank Prof. A. Salam, IAEA and UNESCO at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, for hospitality and support.

References:

1. P. Ginsparg, in *proceedings of Trieste Summer School 1991 and references therein*.
2. P. Ginsparg and G. Moore, *proceedings of TASI 92*, eds. J. Harvey and J. Polchinski.
3. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 281; *Surv. Diff. Geom.* **1** (1991) 243.

4. M. Kontsevich, *Max Planck Institute preprint* MPI/91-77.
5. K. Li, *Nucl. Phys.* **B354** (1991) 711, 725.
6. M. Goulian and M. Li, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 2051; P. DiFrancesco and D. Kutasov, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991) 385; M. Bershadsky and I. Klebanov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1991) 559.
7. M. Bershadsky, W. Lerche, D. Nemeschansky and N. Warner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B401** (1993) 304.
8. S. Panda and S. Roy, *ICTP preprint* IC/93/81, UG-3/93.
9. F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651; J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509.
10. A. M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A2** (1987) 893; V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 819.
11. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, preprint PUPT-1217, IASSNS-HEP-90/80.
12. S. Mukhi and C. Vafa, preprint HUTP-93/A002, TIFR/TH/93-01.
13. T. Kuramoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B233** (1989) 363; Z. Horvath, L. Palla and P. Vecsernyes, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* **A4** (1989) 5261; K. Itoh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B342** (1990) 449.
14. B. Lian and G. Zuckerman, *Phys. Lett.* **B254** (1991) 417.
15. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B373** (1992) 187.
16. D. Kutasov, E. Martinec and N. Seiberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B276** (1992) 437.
17. S. Govindarajan, T. Jayaraman and V. John, preprint IMSc-92/30.
18. W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B324** (1989) 427.